INTRODUCTION

Most acute cardiac events occur without any preceding symptoms. Thus, it is crucial to identify and manage the precursor lesion of an acute cardiac event (i.e., the vulnerable plaque) in asymptomatic patients [1]. Based on postmortem studies, two-thirds of acute myocardial infarctions are caused by rupture of vulnerable plaque [2,3]. Pathologic features of vulnerable plaque consist of thin cap fibroatheroma (TCFA) with large plaque burden, a lipid core, positive remodeling, and macrophage infiltration. Widespread use of intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) or IVUS virtual histology (IVUS-VH), optical coherence tomography (OCT), and angioscopy in evaluating vulnerable plaque is limited by the invasive nature of these tools and their inability to examine the entire coronary artery. Notably, acute coronary syndrome (ACS) (i.e., rupture of vulnerable plaque and subsequent intra-arterial thrombosis) is a focal manifestation of systemic disease, but multiple vulnerable plaques are often noted in both the culprit and non-culprit artery [4]. Moreover, a previous study has demonstrated multiple vulnerable plaque ruptures, even in patients with stable angina [5]. Therefore, the ability of computed tomography (CT) to visualize the entire coronary artery system noninvasively is highly attractive. This review will discuss CT features of vulnerable plaque, limitations of CT, and future directions.

CT FEATURES OF VULNERABLE PLAQUE

In contrast to the fibro-calcified plaque (Fig. 1) typical of patients presenting with stable angina, CT features of vulnerable plaque (Figs. 2 and 3) consist of positive remodeling, large plaque burden, small luminal area, low attenuation plaque, the so-call “napkin-ring” sign, and spotty calcifications [5-24].

Positive remodeling

Most vulnerable plaques are characterized by a large plaque volume. This characteristic renders CT identification of vulnerable plaque more straightforward. The large plaque volume associated with vulnerable plaque is probably caused by disproportional outward growth of the plaque with relatively mild luminal encroachment (i.e., positive remodeling), leading to relatively late development of ischemic symptoms. Thus, because a larger plaque burden exists, a higher degree of positive remodeling is often demonstrated on CT. However, the CT definition of positive remodeling differs from study to study [1,5-8,11,12,14,21-24]. Some studies have used the ratio of vascular area defined as the vascular area at the site of maximal stenosis/vascular area in a normal reference site [1,12,23,24], whereas most studies have employed a simple diameter ratio, probably due to its sim-
plicity [5-8,11,14,21,22]. In addition, the cutoff value for designating positive remodeling varies from a ratio of 1.05 [5,12,18,24] to 1.1 [1,6-8,11,14,21,22] in these studies. Thus, a standard definition of positive remodeling index is recommended for comparison between studies. Some experts favor measurement of positive remodeling index using vascular area rather than the ratio of lesion diameter because the former approach has less inter-observer and intra-observer variability [24]. Although CT permits effective determination of the positive remodeling index, it is sometimes difficult to precisely delineate the outer margin of certain plaques, especially when using a radiation sparing/low dose strategy.

Multiple studies have shown that total plaque burden is a strong predictor of future cardiac event. For example, using an invasive approach, the IVUS-VH study (PROSPECT study) found that a plaque burden of ≥70% stenosis, minimal luminal area ≤4.0 mm², and TCFA are independent predictors of future cardiac events. A similar strategy might be used on CT to determine plaque burden and minimal luminal area [25]. Furthermore, compared with a simple assessment of the degree of coronary stenosis, measurement of total and low-attenuation plaque burden was superior in predicting ischemia in intermediate stenotic coronary lesions (30–69%) when using invasive fractional flow reserve as a gold standard [26].

Low-attenuation plaque
Thrombogenicity of vulnerable plaque is mainly due to elements in the lipid core that contain strong thrombogenic materials. The lipid core of a vulnerable plaque may correspond to a low-attenuation area on CT. However, the definition of low-attenuation plaque also differs from study to study, with Hounsfield units (HU) ranging from 30–60 [5,6-8,19,21,22,24,27], although most studies have used 30 HU as a cutoff [6-8,19,21,22]. This variability is caused by several factors. The CT attenuation value of a particular non-calcified plaque can be different depending on the degree of luminal contrast enhancement, kV setting, and CT system [28-31]. Although quantitative histogram analy-
sis of low-attenuation plaque improves differentiation between lipid-rich and fibrous plaque, use of a single CT density measure has limited value in distinguishing lipid-rich plaque from fibrous plaque [28-30]. Given that the unique technological characteristics of each CT system (e.g., different spatial and temporal resolution, slice thickness, and convolutional kernel) may influence CT attenuation value, a specific cutoff value for low-attenuation plaque should be used for each CT scanner [28]. Furthermore, the approach to measuring CT density of a certain low-attenuation plaque differs from study to study [1,5,24]. In one study, the lowest average HU over multiple regions of interest (ROI) was used [24], whereas another study used the mean value of multiple ROIs [5]. In addition, the size of ROIs differed in these two studies (1 mm$^2$ vs. 1.5 mm$^2$) [1,24]. In one previous study, the authors recommended the use of the lowest average value of multiple small ROI (approximately 1 mm$^2$ size at least five different locations) to reduce partial volume averaging [24]. Due to a small risk of radiation-induced cancer, coronary CTA is increasingly performed with a low-radiation exposure strategy (i.e., 80 or 100 kV). The measured CT density of a lipid core in a low-exposure protocol may differ from that of the standard 120 kV or 135 kV was used in most previous studies. However, relatively few studies have evaluated this issue.

Fig. 3. Asymptomatic 46-year-old man with multiple vulnerable plaques who subsequently developed ACS. Stenosis of 50–70% and >70% (arrow) is noted on volume-rendered images in the mid-portion of the right coronary (A) and mid-left anterior descending coronary artery (B), respectively. (C) 50–70% stenosis with noncalcified plaque, positive remodeling, and the napkin ring sign (arrowheads) is noted in the mid-portion of the right coronary artery on a curved multi-planar reformatted image. Note the napkin ring sign (arrow on D) on a magnified cross-sectional multi-planar reformatted image. (E) Critical stenosis (>70%) with noncalcified plaque and a napkin ring sign, but no definite positive remodeling (arrowheads) is noted in the midportion of the mid-left anterior descending coronary artery on a curved multi-planar reformatted image. Total plaque volume and low-attenuation volume of the former appear to be larger than those of the latter. Positive remodeling is also more prominent in the former. Fifteen months later, the patient developed ACS arising in the mid-portion of the right coronary artery (arrow on F), although the degree of anatomic stenosis was more severe in the plaque in the mid-left anterior descending coronary artery. ACS: acute coronary syndrome.
Napkin ring sign
Compared with low-attenuation plaque, the napkin ring sign is a more specific CT sign for identification of vulnerable plaque and is an indirect method to detect the presence of TCFA. OCT is the only method that can directly measure the cap thickness of an atheromatous plaque in vivo because the spatial resolution is 10 times higher than that of IVUS (>100 μm) [23]. A TCFA is defined as containing a lipid core occupying more than two quadrants of the cross sectional vascular area (>180 degrees) and <65 μm cap thickness on OCT. Thin-cap fibroatheroma is not directly identifiable on CT because its spatial resolution is 0.5 mm at best. Thus, at least 10-fold higher spatial resolution would be required to discriminate TCFA from thick cap fibroatheroma or pathologic intimal thickening on CT. However, TCFA can be identified indirectly on CT using the napkin ring sign [5,12,18]. The napkin ring sign is defined by inhomogeneous plaque containing a core of lower attenuation material and an outer rim with higher attenuation material. The attenuation value of the outer rim should be less than 130 HU to differentiate it from calcified plaque. The exact pathophysiologic mechanism of the napkin ring sign remains unclear, although multiple hypotheses have been proposed. One hypothesis is that the higher attenuation rim may reflect the attenuation difference between the lipid core and outer fibrous plaque [18]. This hypothesis was derived from an observation that the attenuation value of the outer rim is almost the same in pre-contrast and enhanced CT based on a study using an ex vivo human heart. A second hypothesis is that the high attenuation of the outer rim is caused by enhancement of the vasa vasorum, which can proliferate in circumstances of plaque inflammation. Thus, the napkin ring sign may reflect the degree of active plaque inflammation, which is one of the CT features of plaque vulnerability [12,18]. A third hypothesis is that the napkin ring sign depicts central thrombus or hemorrhage with peripheral contrast enhancement, analogous to the CT appearance in venous thrombosis [12,18]. A final hypothesis is that the high attenuation outer rim is due to micro-calciﬁcation [12].

In one study [5] using angiography as a reference standard, the napkin ring sign had the highest positive predictive value (87.5%) for identifying disrupted plaque consisting of plaque erosion with intact ﬁbrous cap (IFC) or plaque with a ruptured ﬁbrous cap. Moreover, the absence of these three CT features of vulnerable plaque on CT [i.e., positive remodeling (≥1.05), low-attenuation plaque (<40 HU), and napkin ring sign] had a relatively high negative predictive value (84.2%) to exclude disrupted plaques. However, a limitation of the napkin ring sign as a predictor of disrupted plaque is its low sensitivity (43.7%). This may be due to an inability to identify vulnerable plaque with a small lipid core.

Spotty calciﬁcation
Spotty calciﬁcation is deﬁned as calciﬁcation with length <3 mm and occupying ≤90 degrees of the vessel arc [19]. An alternative deﬁnition of spotty calciﬁcation uses the concept of dimensions (i.e., <2/3 the width and length of the vessel) [24]. The signiﬁcance of spotty calciﬁcation is disputed in the CT literature [1,6,12]. Some studies have suggested it as a feature of vulnerable plaque [1,6]; however, one study showed no difference in the prevalence of spotty calciﬁcation between TCFA and non-TCFA groups (36% vs. 29%) [12]. A limitation in the CT evaluation of calciﬁed plaque is that microcalcifications cannot be reliably evaluated on current generation CT scanners due to limited special resolution. In addition, superficial small calciﬁcations can be concealed by luminal contrast enhancement [23]. These limitations may be overcome by advances in CT technique.

PROGNOSTIC VALUE OF VULNERABLE PLAQUE ON CT
A recent study by Motoyama et al. [8] showed that CT-deﬁned vulnerable plaque [positive remodeling (≥1.1) and low-attenuation plaque (≤30 HU)] are independent predictors of future acute coronary events. In a study of 3158 patients who underwent coronary CT with a mean follow-up of 3.9±2.4 years, 51.1% (45/88) of the acute coronary events arose from areas of CT-deﬁned high-risk plaque [i.e., presence of positive remodeling (≥1.1) and/or low-attenuation plaque (≤30 HU)]. In addition, plaque progression deﬁned as increase in positive remodeling index or stenosis was an independent predictor of ACS in a subgroup of patients (n=449) who underwent follow-up CT scan. However, the CT follow-up component in the study may be somewhat limited by the fact that the authors did not use volumetric measurements, which are more accurate in determining plaque progression [9]. In addition, the napkin ring sign, a more objective tool to predict TCFA compared with low-attenuation plaque or positive remodeling, was not evaluated [22]. In one study, ACS occurred at a rate of 0.1 events per year per plaque showing the napkin ring sign [22]. The study also suggested that therapeutic intervention with statins, for example, would eliminate only one-half of future cardiac events because the remainder of ACS events occurred in plaques without CT features of vulnerability [10]. A possible explanation is that TCFA containing a relatively small lipid core and/or lower positive remodeling index may not be identiﬁed on CT as a high-risk plaque due to the limited spatial resolution of current CT scanners [5,27]. Alternatively, the occurrence of ACS not arising from vulnerable plaque as visualized on CT may be due to intrinsic factors such as the dynamic nature of coronary plaque morphology. The latter possibility is supported by evidence that plaque morphology, especially pathologic intimal thickening, TCFA, and thick cap
fibroatheroma identified on IVUS at one time point, is not fixed but dynamic [31]. Pathologic intimal thickening or thick cap fibroatheroma can evolve into TCFA, and the reverse can also occur. Thus, it might be necessary to perform follow-up CT scanning to identify such dynamic change of plaque morphology.

LIMITATIONS OF CURRENT CT FOR THE EVALUATION OF VULNERABLE PLAQUE

Which CT-verified vulnerable plaque will develop future cardiac events?

The presence of CT-verified vulnerable plaque does not necessarily portend the development of a future cardiac event. In a study by Motoyama, there were significant differences in low-attenuation plaque volume, degree of positive remodeling, and total amount of high-risk plaque (2-feature (with positive remodeling and low-attenuation plaque) or 1-feature positive plaque (with either positive remodeling or low-attenuation plaque)) that subsequently developed ACS compared with high-risk plaques that did not [7]. However, the study did not provide data comparing the volumetric characteristics of the plaques with 2-feature positive plaque or the napkin ring sign between patients who developed ACS and those who did not. In addition, some of their CT studies were obtained on 16-slice MDCT with lower temporal resolution, which is more prone to coronary motion blurring and other artifacts, although no segments were excluded from the study. Second, most CT scanning was performed at 135 kV rather than the standard 120 kV, leading to different CT density measurements of low-attenuation plaque than might be prevalent in current clinical practice. Thus, it remains unclear which vulnerable plaque identified on CT will ultimately precipitate a cardiac event. It could be inflammation rather than merely plaque morphologic features that is predictive of future events. Alternatively, future cardiac events might be associated with the degree or amount of specific plaque features (i.e., degree of remodeling index, minimal luminal area, volume of total noncalcified or low-attenuation plaque, and the presence of the napkin ring sign or spotty calcification). This determination requires further studies with larger patient cohorts.

Angioscopic study has indicated that silent rupture of vulnerable plaque is frequent [5]. As most ruptured plaques are clinically silent, the probability of developing ACS from a ruptured plaque is quite low. This finding suggests that there are multiple factors related to development of ACS from a ruptured plaque other than the morphologic features of vulnerable plaque.

Vulnerable blood is an important concept that might be related to the development of ACS from ruptured plaque. For example, smoking is associated with a thrombogenic tendency. Vulnerable blood may be measurable using certain elements such as c-reactive protein [32]. The second factor is vulnerable hemo-
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nary artery stenosis is critical. Based on these concepts, it might be unwise to treat all vulnerable plaques identified on CT. Rather, a better strategy might be to identify only ruptured vulnerable plaque or to identify factors such as vulnerable blood that link the ruptured vulnerable plaque to an episode of ACS [32].

Activated macrophages produce inflammatory cytokines that

---

**Fig. 5.** A culprit lesion on coronary CTA in a 62-year-old-man with acute myocardial infarction. Subendocardial hypoperfusion without myocardial thinning (black arrowheads) is noted in the inferolateral wall on short axis view (A) and axial CT image (B), suggesting acute myocardial infarction. (C) Spotty calcification (small white arrow) and a suspicious small area of low attenuation (small black arrow) are noted on a curved multi-planar reformatted image in the left circumflex artery. Note the obstruction (white arrowheads) in the proximal portion of the distal circumflex coronary artery by thrombus with a CT density of approximately 90 HU. (D) A separate curved multiplanar reformatted image shows prominent positive remodeling (>1.5) (white arrowheads) of the culprit plaque. CT: computed tomography, HU: Hounsfield units.
can induce fibrous cap thinning, rendering specific plaques prone to rupture [2]. Thus, inflammation is closely associated with atherosclerotic plaque progression. In this context, hybrid imaging with PET/CT may be a powerful tool to identify both plaque inflammation and morphology, although this strategy is associated with relatively high radiation exposure and cost, and the spatial resolution of PET is insufficient to analyze small coronary arteries [34,35].

The 2010 ACCF guidelines did not endorse follow-up of the plaque identified on CT [36]. However, one study suggested that follow-up CT can evaluate plaque progression or regression after statin treatment [13]. In this study, plaque volume in 24 patients who took low-dose statins decreased compared with that of controls (n=8) on follow-up CT after one year. In the statin group, the total (92.3±37.7 mm³ vs. 76.4±26.5 mm³, p<0.01) and low-attenuation plaque volumes (4.9±7.8 mm³ vs. 1.3±2.3 mm³, p<0.01) were significantly decreased. In contrast, there was no significant difference from the control group [13]. However, there are no randomized controlled trials regarding the mortality benefit and cost effectiveness of statin therapy on vulnerable plaque identified on CT. Randomized controlled trials would provide an overview on preventive strategy in asymptomatic patients with CT-verified vulnerable plaque morphology and could suggest an appropriate frequency for follow-up with CT.

Are ACS lesions with an intact fibrous cap visible on CT?

As noted, the term ‘disrupted plaque’ includes both ruptured fibrous cap from TCFA and plaque erosion with IFC. Pathologic studies have indicated that plaque erosion with IFC occurs in about one-third of cardiac events [14]. Plaque erosion with IFC is defined as the presence of luminal thrombus without direct contact with deep underlying plaque. The intima of ACS lesions with IFC has endothelial erosion, a rich proteoglycan matrix, and smooth muscle cells. This entity is prevalent in premenopausal women who smoke and accounts for about 80% of cardiac events in women <50 years. Compared with ACS caused by a ruptured fibrous cap, plaque erosion with IFC (Fig. 4) is characterized by less positive remodeling, a smaller lipid core, less spotty calcification, and a lower rate of subsequent cardiac events [37]. In one study, CT features of the plaque erosion with IFC were similar to those of stable plaque (Fig. 2) except for a lower prevalence of large calcification (10% vs. 59%, p=0.001) [14]. In contrast, CT features of plaque erosion with IFC were significantly different from those of ruptured fibrous cap from TCFA. Specifically, the CT prevalence of low-attenuation plaque (<30 HU) (88% vs. 40%, p=0.001), positive remodeling (>1.1) (96% vs. 20%, p=0.001), and spotty calcification (80% vs 20%, p=0.001) was higher in plaque with a ruptured fibrous cap compared with that found with IFC [14]. Although OCT can be used to differentiate plaque erosion with IFC from plaque with ruptured fibrous cap and stable plaque, no unique features of plaque erosion with IFC have been identified on CT. Thus, it is currently difficult to set up a CT-based strategy to identify patients at high risk for developing ACS who have plaques with IFC. A small vulnerable plaque with a ruptured fibrous cap may not be visible on CT for similar reasons. As spatial resolution on CT improves, these entities may be more easily characterized.

Are CT features of ACS precursor and culprit lesions the same?

CT features of a culprit lesion after development of ACS may be different than those that occur prior to an initial episode of ACS, at least in theory. This is because CT features of precursor lesions can be altered by thrombosis and rupture (Fig. 5). However, studies by Motoyama have suggested that the characteristics of vulnerable plaque in the pre- and post-cardiac event situations are similar, although these studies did not use a comparative reference standard such as IVUS, angioscopy, or OCT [6-8]. The presence of the napkin ring sign does not necessarily indicate that plaque is disrupted. When disrupted plaque was defined as ruptured TCFA or plaque erosion with IFC and thrombus, at least 12.5% of plaques with the napkin ring sign were not disrupted [5]. Thus, the difference between disrupted and non-disrupted plaque showing the napkin ring sign requires further investigation. For now, plaque ulceration is the only CT sign that permits differentiation of ruptured from non-ruptured plaque [38].

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Although current-generation CT has the potential to evaluate vulnerable plaque, its capabilities are less than ideal. Advancements in CT technology including improved spatial and temporal resolution are mandatory to more precisely evaluate vulnerable plaque. This should be accompanied by strategies to further decrease radiation and contrast use. Other approaches such as the use of dual-energy CT [39], CT/PET hybrid imaging, or the development of new CT contrast agents using nanoparticles may lead to more effective imaging of coronary plaque inflammation. The utility of coronary CTA should be expanded beyond determination of the extent of coronary stenosis to identification and monitoring of vulnerable plaque, with the goal of improving patient outcome.
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